



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/725,081 11/29/00 UMEDA

A PM 275902 54

000909  
FILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP  
1600 TYSONS BOULEVARD  
MCLEAN VA 22102

MM91/1011

EXAMINER

CUEVAS, P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2834

DATE MAILED:

10/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/725,081

Applicant(s)

UMEDA ET AL.

Examiner

Pedro J. Cuevas

Art Unit

2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 November 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All   b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                      4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_.
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                      5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_                      6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

• Art Unit: 2834

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Priority*

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,739,204 to Kitamura et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,965,965 to Umeda et al.

Kitamura et al. discloses a liquid-cooled vehicle rotary electric machine (Figure 2) operable in a motor mode or a generator mode comprising:

a frame (26, 30) having an inner periphery and a liquid passage (32);

a stator core (10) having an outer periphery fixedly fitted to said inner periphery of said frame and a plurality of slots;

a multi-phase stator winding (11) accommodated in said plurality of slots;

a rotor (21) rotatably supported by said frame and disposed inside said stator core so as to electro-magnetically connect said stator core.

4. With regards to claim 3, Kitamura et al. discloses a liquid-cooled vehicle rotary electric machine wherein said stator core and said stator winding are liquid-tightly enclosed by said frame (26, 30) as shown in Figure 2, and stated in line 61 of column 2.

Art Unit: 2834

5. With regards to claim 5, Kitamura et al. discloses a liquid-cooled vehicle rotary electric machine wherein said rotor has a plurality of different magnetic poles alternately disposed at prescribed intervals in the circumferential direction thereof, and the number of said slots is larger than the product of the number of said magnetic poles and the number of the phases of said stator.

However, it fails to disclose a liquid-cooled vehicle rotary electric machine wherein said stator winding comprises a plurality of insulated U-shaped conductor segments each of which has a pair of legs, and each of said legs is inserted in a slot from one end of said stator core and connected to be paired to another at a portion extending from the other end of said stator.

Umeda et al. teaches the construction of a vehicle rotary electric machine wherein a stator winding (8) comprises a plurality of insulated U-shaped conductor segments (33) each of which has a pair of legs (33), and each of said legs is inserted in a slot from one end of stator core (2) and connected to be paired to another at a portion extending from the other end of said stator for the purpose of providing an improved structure of coil ends of the stator and rotor which provides high cooling performance with low noise, and does not require the addition of any parts.

6. With regards to claim 4, Umeda et al. discloses a liquid-cooled rotary electric machine wherein each of said legs inserted in said plurality of slots is closely fitted to one of said plurality of slot via an insulator (34) for the purpose of providing insulation to the coils.

7. With regards to claim 6, Umeda et al. discloses a liquid-cooled rotary electric machine wherein said stator winding has a plurality of coil ends (33d) formed of said U-shaped conductor segments separated from each other, each of said coil ends is covered by and filled with

• Art Unit: 2834

insulating material (34), and said insulating material is closely fitted to said frame for the purpose of providing insulation to the coils.

8. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the insulated U-shaped conductor segments filled with insulating material disclosed by Umeda et al. on the liquid-cooled vehicle rotary electric machine disclosed by Kitamura et al. for the purpose of providing an improved structure of coil ends of the stator and rotor which provides high cooling performance with low noise, and does not require the addition of any parts; and providing insulation to the coils.

9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,739,204 to Kitamura et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,965,965 to Umeda et al. as applied to claims 1 and 3-6 above above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,137,201 to Umeda et al.

Umeda et al. discloses the construction of a liquid-cooled rotary electric machine having a space factor more than 55 %, wherein each of said U-shaped conductor segments comprises a flat wire as stated in lines 52 and 53 of column 2, for the purpose of providing an AC generator for vehicles capable of preventing stoppage of the generator due to a short-circuit within a stator slot.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the liquid-cooled rotary electric machine disclosed by Umeda et al. on the liquid-cooled vehicle rotary electric machine disclosed by Kitamura et al. in view of Umeda et al. for the purpose of providing an AC generator for vehicles capable of preventing stoppage of the generator due to a short-circuit within a stator slot.

Art Unit: 2834

10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,739,204 to Kitamura et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,965,965 to Umeda et al. as applied to claims 1 and 3-6 above, and further in view of Electric Machinery and Transformers, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. to Guru et al..

According to Guru et al. the stator winding current required by any electromechanical device is larger when used as a motor, than the stator winding current supplied by the device when used as a generator, due to the losses of the magnetic circuit.

### *Conclusion*

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro J. Cuevas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T from 7:30 - 5:00; F from 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Néstor R. Ramírez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-1341 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



KARL TAMAI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

pjc  
October 9, 2001